#### PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL



# Stephanie Rawlings-Blake

Mayor



Room 250 City Hall Baltimore, MD 21202

February 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2016

**TO:** The Honorable Stephanie Rawlings-Blake, Mayor

Kaliope Parthemos, Chief of Staff Dawn Kirstaetter, Deputy Mayor Sameer Sidh, Director of CitiStat

**FROM:** CitiStat Team

**SUBJECT:** Human Services Stat Meeting Summary Briefing



## **Making Progress**

- **Baltimore City Head Start.** Baltimore City Head Start's mission is to strengthen families by providing a seamless delivery of family focused, comprehensive services that ensure all eligible children, regardless of economic circumstances, have the opportunity for educational achievement and to have a productive life.
- Below is the Head Start Services Snapshot, which summarizes key data on demographics and services for preschool-age children served by this grantee. The data in this Snapshot is a subset of the grantee's annual Program Information Report submission to the Office of Head Start, and displays comparisons to the state and nation. Baltimore's Head Start program is either on par or above the benchmarks set by the State and nationally for multiple year enrollment, enrollment under 45 days and the percentage of children and pregnant women leaving Head Start and not re-enrolling.

Enrollment - Performance Indicators						
	Grant		State		National	
	Number		Number		Number	
ive Enrolled Children	884		9,178		895,718	
PIR Performance Indicator	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Percentage (%) of children enrolled for multiple years	333	37.7%	3,062	33.4%	266,878	29.8%
Percentage (%) of children enrolled less than 45 days	31	3.5%	326	3.6%	42,182	4.7%
Percentage (%) of children and pregnant women (if EHS) who left the program and did not re-enroll	146	16.5%	1,096	11.9%	146,960	16.4%
	ive Enrolled Children  PIR Performance Indicator  Percentage (%) of children enrolled for multiple years  Percentage (%) of children enrolled less than 45 days  Percentage (%) of children and pregnant women (if EHS) who left the program and did not re-enroll	Number  Number  Number  Number  Number  Number  Referentage (%) of children enrolled for multiple years  Percentage (%) of children enrolled less than 45 days  Number	ive Enrolled Children  PIR Performance Indicator Percentage (%) of children enrolled for multiple years Percentage (%) of children enrolled less than 45 days  State of State	Grant   State	State   Number   Number   Number	Grant         State         Nation           Number         Number         Number         Number           ive Enrolled Children         884         9,178         895,718           PIR Performance Indicator         Number         Percentage         Number         Percentage           Percentage (%) of children enrolled for multiple years         333         37.7%         3,062         33.4%         266,878           Percentage (%) of children enrolled less than 45 days         31         3.5%         326         3.6%         42,182

#### PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL



		Gra	ant	Sta	ate	Nati	onal
Context		Number		Number		Number	
Cumulat	tive Enrolled Preschool Children	884		9,178		895,718	
	tive Enrolled Preschool Children with an IEP for one of the Disabilities Reported in the PIR	103		959		108,189	
2015#	PIR Performance Indicator	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
131	Percentage (%) of preschool children that received special education or related services for one of the primary disabilities reported in the PIR	103	100%	955	99.6%	105,128	97.2%
132	Percentage (%) of preschool children completing professional dental exams	510	57.7%	7,825	85.3%	744,096	83.1%
133	Of the preschool children receiving professional dental exams, the percentage (%) of preschool children needing professional dental treatment	108	21.2%	1,228	: 15.7%	133,516	17.9%
134	Of the preschool children needing dental treatment, the percentage (%) of preschool children who received dental treatment	:: 78	: 72.2%	973	:: 79.2%	96,599	72.4%

Family Services - Performance Indicators							
		Gr	ant	Sta	ate	Nati	onal
Context		Number		Number		Number	
Total Nu	ımber of Families	844		8,651		840,143	
2015#	PIR Performance Indicator	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
141	Percentage (%) of families who received at least one of the family services reported in the PIR	819	97%	7,105	82.1%	595,937	70.9%
Context		Number		Number		Number	
Total Nu Served	mber of Families Experiencing Homelessness that were During the Enrollment Year	50		338		34,916	

- Community Action Partnerships (CAPs). The overall mission of the Community Action Partnership is to provide services to low income residents and advocate improving lives and strengthening our communities. In an effort to maintain and improve performance across programs, CAP will hold 10-12 Pop Up Center sites across the City for FY 2017. The purpose of the sites will be to offer all CAP programs and services to residents in underserved communities that are not currently accessing the services and resources at their local Community Action Partnership Center. Other City agencies will be invited to partner with CAP and provide services at the Pop Up. The goal is to provide immediate services to residents in need, increase the visibility of BCCAP and redirect residents to their closest center for long-term support, all at no additional cost to the program. This will assist with improving our performance measures by increasing the overall number of units serviced per household and participants enrolled in case management. In addition to adding 10 Pop Up sites, CAP will also increase community engagement by hosting or attending outreach events in targeted underserved neighborhoods where the services are most needed.
- Below is a snapshot of participation in CAP Services for the last CitiStat reporting period.

	December 2015	January 2016
Walk-ins (CAP)	2320	1471
Walk-ins (OHEP)	2043	2878
MEAP/ESUP (CAP)	1286	705
MEAP/ESUP (OHEP)	5109	3554
LIWAP	217	115

#### PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL



Arrearages	372	192
Crisis Oil	169	189
Baltimore Energy Initiative	597	412
Case Management	59	103
Eviction Prevention and Rapid Rehousing	HCAM exhausted funding	HCAM exhausted funding

### **Needs Improvement**

- **Homeless Services Program.** The average number of days a client is actively enrolled in a particular project type is a key indicator of the efficiency of provision of homeless services. Shortening client stays in housing projects indicates that clients are receiving the help they need to more quickly end their homeless experience.
- The length of stay data can be skewed by clients that have not exited from HMIS, but should have. This is caused by projects that cease participation in HMIS or projects that are out of compliance with HMIS data entry requirements. MOHS has increased monitoring of this data to improve its reliability, and will seek to reduce the length of shelter stays moving forward. Key data trends are described below:
  - o The increase of shelter length of stay between 2013 and 2015 is due in part to an increase in the capacity of family shelters that allow longer client stays.
  - In addition, shelter length of stay in 2014 and 2015 appears longer due to the absence of WHRC client data in HMIS.
  - Over the last three years, clients have consistently had stays of less than 6 months.
  - Rapid Rehousing: Over the last three years clients have consistently had stays of less than one year.



